



EPIDEMIOLOGY AND SURVEILLANCE OF C. DIFFICILE

Prof. Fidelma Fitzpatrick, Consultant Microbiologist, Beaumont Hospital and Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland Twitter: @ffitzP / Instagram: @rcsi_micro

DISCLOSURES

• Tillotts Pharma: consultancy, research







CLOSTRIDIOIDES DIFFICILE

- Spore-forming, toxin-producing anaerobic bacterium
 - Survive in environment
 - Need to wash hands
- Carried asymptomatically
- C. difficile infection (CDI): Toxin-mediated disease
 - Major virulence factor in C. difficile = toxins A/B
- In Europe: Common HAI: one in twenty HAI (48% of gastrointestinal HAI)
- Antibiotics = main risk factor for infection
- 2-step testing recommended



(Hematoxylin and eosin stain ×105.5) (From Feldman M, Friedman LS, Brandt LJ [eds]: Sleisenger and Fordtran's Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases, 8th ed. Philadelphia, WB Saunders, 2006.)



SYMPTOMS

- Variable (depends on the patient/resident)
- Asymptomatic to potentially fatal
 - <u>Diarrhoea</u>
 - Stomach cramps
 - Fever
 - Nausea
 - Loss of appetite
 - Acute abdomen /Pseudomembraneous colitis
- Risk of recurrence increases with each recurrence



DRIVERS OF C. DIFFICILE EPIDEMIOLOGY



Slide adapted with permission: Dr. Jon Otter



WE CAN'T TALK ABOUT EPIDEMIOLOGY WITHOUT DISCUSSING TESTING









23% = UNDER DIAGNOSIS OF CASES

W i Underdiagnosis of Clostridium difficile across Europe: the European, multicentre, prospective, biannual, point-prevalence study of Clostridium difficile infection in hospitalised patients with diarrhoea (EUCLID)

> Kerrie A Davies, Christopher M Longshaw, Georgina L Davis, Emilio Bouza, Frédéric Barbut, Zsuzsanna Barna, Michel Delmée, Fidelma Fitzpatrick, Kate Ivanova, Ed Kuijper, Ioana S Macovei, Silja Mentula, Paola Mastrantonio, Lutz von Müller, Mónica Oleastro, Efthymia Petinaki, Hanna Pituch, Torbjörn Norén, Elena Nováková, Otakar Nyč, Maja Rupnik, Daniela Schmid, Mark H Wilcox

Summary

Lancet Infect Dis 2014;

Published Online November 5, 2014

14:1208-19

Background Variations in testing for *Clostridium difficile* infection can hinder patients' care, increase the risk of transmission, and skew epidemiological data. We aimed to measure the underdiagnosis of *C difficile* infection across Europe.



C. DIFFICILE INFECTION (CDI) IS UNDER REPORTED I.E, CDI > REPORTED CDI



<1

1-4 4-8

8-12 12-16







THIS IS A PARTICULAR PROBLEM IN THE COMMUNITY

Testing ALL samples enabled detection of missed cases

- Undiagnosed CDI
 - 55% community cases missed
 - 16% hospital cases missed

Lack of clinical suspicion = lack of testing





WHO SHOULD WE TEST?

- Symptomatic
 - Diarrhoea
 - Faecal sample takes the shape of the container
- Not on laxatives



Avoid using

- Age
 - *Missed patients in EUCLID study* = *significantly younger than those diagnosed*
- Hospital contact
 - Some community cases do not have healthcare contact





HOW TO TEST?



Test for?	How?	
The organism	1. Grow the organism (culture)	More sensitive <i>C. difficile</i> carriage
	2. Cell surface protein (GDH)	
The toxins	1. Toxin activity	More specific Lack of sensitivity
	2. Toxin protein	NB: not all EIA the same (69-93%)
DNA	Toxin genes (NAAT)	More sensitive <i>C. difficile</i> carriage

Eastwood et al J Clin Microbiol. 2009 Oct;47(10):3211-7

IRELAND: C. DIFFICILE LABORATORY TESTING





Unpublished data

Source: Tara Mitchell, HPSC





HOW DO WE COUNT CASES? CASE DEFINITIONS





- Diarrhoea* or toxic megagolon with either
 - Lab positive for tcdA / tcdB
 - Toxinproducing C. difficile by culture
- PMC (colonoscopy)
- Histopathology indicating CDI









CDI symptom onset date

- First positive laboratory test for CDI
- Symptom end date
- Subsequent positive laboratory test for CDI



:www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/clostridium-difficile-infections-EU-surveillance-protocol-vers2.4.pdf

ONSET = WHERE_WAS THE PERSON WHEN THEY DEVELOPED SYMPTOMS?

ORIGIN (ASSOCIATED = <u>WHERE DID THEY ACQUIRE CDI</u>?





CDI ORIGIN







COMMUNITY ASSOCIATED CDI



RCSI





Factsheets	>
C. difficile data and reports	>
Enhanced Surveillance	>
Guidelines	>
Publications	>
Case Definitions	>

Find a Topic

CASE DEEINITIONS

HOME / A-Z / MICROBIOLOGY/ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE / CLOSTRIDIOIDES DIFFICILE / CASE DEFINITIONS Clostridioides difficile infection (Clostridioides difficile; C. difficile)

A confirmed *Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI) case is a patient two years or older, to whom one or more of the following criteria applies:

- Diarrhoeal* stools or toxic megacolon, with either
 - Positive laboratory assay for C. difficile toxin A (TcdA) and/or toxin B (TcdB) in stools or
 - Toxin-producing C. difficile organism detected in stool via culture or other means
- Pseudomembranous colitis revealed by lower gastrointestinal endoscopy
- Colonic histopathology characteristic of C. difficile infection (with or without diarrhoea) on a specimen obtained during endoscopy, colectomy or autopsy

Notifiable since May 2008: Infectious Diseases (Amendment) Regulations 2022

- <u>Outbreaks</u>
- <u>Weekly</u> CDI case reports published
- Case type (new/recurrent)





- Voluntary national enhanced CDI programme since 2009
 - 97% of all tertiary and general hospitals participating
 - <u>Quarterly</u> CDI reports: Case type / Origin & onset / Severity
 - HA-CDI reduction with concurrent rise in community-associated (CA) CDI
- National KPI since April 2014: Hospital-acquired CDI rates/10,000 BDU

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
C. difficile infection CIDR events notified	1871	1763	2056	2288	1733	1766
Crude Incidence Rate*/100,000 population	35.7	32.4	38.7	48.4	31.8	32.8
C. difficile infection enhanced cases reported	1877	1906	2030	2185	1707	1774
Rate hospital-acquired** cases/10,000 BDU	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.8	2.3	2.1

Limited ribotyping data: 078 (n=58; 16%), 002 (n=33; 9%), 014 (n=32; 9%), 020 (n=29; 8%) and 005 (n=24; 7%) most common





NATIONAL & HOSPITAL-ACQUIRED CDI RATES Q1 2012 – Q1 2022



National range —Overall National Rate (Hospitalised Cases) —National New HA-CDI Rate



CDI ORIGIN 2021: 33% COMMUNITY & 54% HEALTHCARE

2013:

- 18% CA
- 64% HCA

2019: National hospital acquired 002 outbreak





CDI ONSET 2021: <u>46% COMMUNITY</u> & 52% HEALTHCARE

2013:

- 29% CO
- 61% HCO

2019: National hospital acquired 002 outbreak



HOW DOES THIS COMPARE?





Crude incidence of Clostridioides difficile infections, by epidemiologic category, Hong Kong, China, 2015-2019*

		No. cases			Incidence† †No. cases/		
Year	Adult population	Overall	HA-CDI	CA-CDI	Overall	HA-CDI	CA-CDI
2015	6,247,460	3,160	2,921	181	50.6	46.8	2.9
2016	6,301,560	3,303	3,058	185	52.4	48.5	2.9
2017	6,357,420	3,618	3,303	231	56.9	52.0	3.6
2018	6,410,080	3,557	3,248	223	55.5	50.7	3.5
2019	6,481,000	3,467	3,187	205	53.5	49.2	3.2



Guo CLT et al Trends in Incidence and Clinical Outcomes of Clostridioides difficile Infection, Hong Kong. Emerg Infect Dis. 2021 Dec;27(12):3036–44. doi: 10.3201/eid2712.203769. PMID: 34812719; PMCID: PMC8632188.

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

Trends in U.S. Burden of *Clostridioides difficile* Infection

ESTIMATES BASED ON SURVEILLANCE IN 10 U.S. SITES, 2011-2017



Decreased U.S. infection burden reflected a decline in health care-associated infections



A.Y. Guh et al. 10.1056/NEJMoa1910215

Copyright © 2020 Massachusetts Medical Society

CDC: POPULATION-BASED SURVEILLANCE: <u>10</u> EMERGING INFECTIONS PROGRAM SITES

- Crude incidence 2021 110.2 /100,000 persons:
 - Community associated (55.9/100,000 persons)
 - Healthcare-associated cases (54.3/100,000 persons)
- Severe CDI rare

Table 2 – Diagnostic Assay Results of CDI Cases (N=13348)

Diagnostic assay	Ν	%
Toxin positive	4140	31
Nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) positive/toxin negative	4465	33
NAAT positive/toxin result unknownª	4742	36
Unspecified assay	1	<1

^a Includes cases diagnosed mainly by NAAT or multiplex PCR panel (i.e., toxin enzyme immunoassay or cell cytotoxicity assay was not performed) or by NAAT as part of a multistep algorithm where the toxin result was not readily known



ECDC CDI surveillance in acute care hospitals in EU/EEA countries since 2016

2016-2017 crude incidence in 23 European countries = 3.48 /10,000 patient days

- Healthcare associated (60.9%)
- Community associated or unknown association (32.7%)









COMMUNITY-ASSOCIATED CDI IN EU, 2020



CDI – NOT JUST HEALTHCARE-ASSOCIATED

Increasing proportion of community-acquired CDI

- US 2011-2017: adjusted healthcare-associated (HA) CDI decreased X 36%, community-associated (CA)-CDI unchanged
- UK GP study 1994-2004: Increase in CDI <1 to 22/100,000
- Finland 2008-2013: Increase in CA-CDI from 30.8/100,000 to 37.5/100,000



Guh AY *et al. NEJM* 2020;382:1230-1330 Dial *et al. JAMA* 2005;294:2989-2995; Kotila *et al. EID* 2016;22:1747-1753;

THE PROBLEM WITH "COMMUNITY-ASSOCIATED CDI"





'COMMUNITY'-ASSOCIATED CDI

- Patients who live in their own homes + not admitted prior to CDI onset
- Patients utilising healthcare <u>day</u> services and live in their own homes
 - In our hospital outpatient activity increasing <u>X 21%</u> over ten years

Day surgery, day wards (e.g., oncology and renal dialysis) etc
i.e. NO overnight hospital admission but still exposed to healthcare services, professionals and the hospital environment





RCSI Beaumont Hospital











- RCSI Beaumont Hospital
- 800 bed, tertiary referral teaching hospital: Seven day service onsite lab
- All stool samples which take the shape of the container, irrespective of clinician request
- 2-step testing
 - From Q1 2015: C. difficile tcdB PCR /enzyme immunoassay (EIA) for C. difficile toxin
 - Prior (since 2008): glutamate dehydrogenase / C. difficile tcdB PCR testing.
- Positive results are phoned daily by the clinical microbiologist
- First positive sample per patient, irrespective of case type, prospectively sent to Leeds Regional Public Health Laboratory



CDI 1ST JANUARY 2012 AND 31ST DECEMBER 2021

1,047 new-onset CDI: 205 (20%) CA-CDI



A decade of *Clostridioides difficile* infection: a constant challenge to maintain the status quo

M. Skally^{a,b,c,*}, K. Bennett^d, K. Burns^{a,b,e}, R. Brennan^{b,c}, C. Finn^e, K. O'Connell^{a,b}, B. Dinesh^{a,b}, S. O'Donnell^{a,b}, W. Fawley^f, M. Wilcox^g, H. Humphreys^b, F. Fitzpatrick^{a,b,c}

CA-CDI vs HA-CDI

- Younger (< 65 yrs: 50% vs 30% p<0.01)
- More females (68% vs 54% p<0.01)
- Shorter median length of stay (9 vs 31 days p<0.01)



HOSPITAL ATTENDANCE IN PREVIOUS 12 WEEKS (N=205)

37%

■ none ■ Hospital attendence



	Day attendance (n=75) N %	Number of day a	ttendences (n Median	=348) IQRª
Day ward Haematology	30 (40%)	64 (18 %)	1	1-3
day services Oncology day	5 (7%) 4 (5%)	<u>74 (21%)</u> 22 (6 %)	<u>12</u> 4	11 - 21 3 - 8
services Haemodialysis (2 +)	4 (5%)	144 ^b (41 %)	N/A	N/A
Emergency Dept (2 +)	24 (32%)	35 (10%)	1	1 - 2
Radiology	8 (11%)	9 (3%)	1	1 - 1

MEANWHILE IN THE US 2021:

Emerging Infections Program Healthcare-Associated Infections–Community Interface Report *Clostridioides difficile* Infection Surveillance, 2021

Table 8 – Selected Healthcare Exposures and Risk Factors of Incident CDI Cases in the 12 Weeks Before the Date of Incident Specimen Collection by Epidemiologic Classification (N=6558)

Healthcare Exposure ^a	CA (N=4292), N	CA (N=4292), %	COHCFA (N=1773), N	COHCFA (N=1773), %	HCFO (N=493), N	HCFO (N=493), %
Acute care hospitalization	0	0	1734	98	244	49
Long-term care facility residence	0	0	187	11	178	36
Long-term acute care hospitalization	0	0	7	<1	9	2
Surgery	196	5	491	28	125	25
Emergency room	881	21	740	42	142	29
Observation unit	69	2	103	6	17	3
Chronic dialysis	106	2	163	9	51	10



^a Healthcare exposure categories are not mutually exclusive.

DO WE NEED A NEW CASE DEFINITION TO CAPTURE THESE PATIENTS? <u>HEALTHCARE EXPOSURE (HE)</u>

 Discharged from a healthcare facility between 4 & 12 weeks before the onset of symptoms

AND

 One + day case, oncology day ward, haematology day ward or haemodialysis attendances

or

 Two + radiology or emergency department attendances
within the previous 12 weeks





WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT?





WE NEED TO TEASE OUT SUBGROUPS OF CA-CDI

Significant burden of disease

- Patients tend to be younger
- Many have no recent history of antibiotic treatment
- By definiton = Lack of recent admission to HCF but not lack of healthcare contact

What does this mean?

- We may need to modify our CDI control strategies to each subgroup
- What additional reservoirs and routes of transmission are important?



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Mairead Skally, Beaumont Hospital and RCSI
- Tara Mitchell, HPSC
- Staff and colleagues Microbiology and Infection Prevention and Control Beaumont Hospital and Clinical Microbiology RCSI



